

LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					•
APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,757	(01/29/2002	Kelan C. Silvester	42390P13000	8213
8791	7590	06/20/2006		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY 12400 WILS		OFF TAYLOR &	ZAFMAN	J	UNG, MIN
SEVENTH I		OLEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2616

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Y				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/060,757	SILVESTER, KELAN C.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Min Jung	2663					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DON'S of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja	anuarv 2002.						
·	•	action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1,9-11,19-21,24 and 25 is/are rejected	d.						
7)🖾	Claim(s) <u>2-8,12-18,22,23 and 26</u> is/are objected	ed to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 								
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic 2) □ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	See the attached detailed Office action for a list t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 9-11, 19-21, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen, US PG Pub., 2003/0071783.

Chen discloses wire/wireless dual mode operating input device.

Regarding claims 1, 9, 10, 11, 19, 20, 24, and 25, Chen teaches detecting a communications mode of the device as one of wireless communications mode and a wired communications mode (detection is inherently done by the user, see [0023]-[0026]); determining a data transmission quality of the device during operation of the device in the wireless communication mode ([0026] and [0030]); and switching the device from the wireless communication mode to a wired communication mode when the data transmission quality exceeds a predetermined threshold (user selects the preferred mode, [0026] and [0030]). Chen further teaches wireless interface (RF output unit 12 and antenna 120), and wired interface (the wire connecting port 15). See Fig. 4. Chen further teaches host device as shown in Fig. 3. Chen fails to specifically teach the determination of a data transmission error rate. Chen, however, teaches that the communication mode is switched when the interference in radio frequency is too

Application/Control Number: 10/060,757 Page 3

Art Unit: 2663

disruptive for use in the wireless communication mode. It is well known in the filed of the invention that one way of determining interference is by determining transmission error rate. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Chen's teaching by employing the well-known error rate determination scheme for determining the interference level.

Regarding claim 21, Chen further teaches the processor (11), communication interface (12 and 120), connection port (15), wired/wireless detection unit (auto switch 13) in addition to the recited functions as addressed above. Chen fails to specifically teach a storage device storing instructions to cause the processor to perform the recited functions. Chen, however, teaches the functions as addressed above. For the processor to perform the necessary functions it would require a set of instruction either built-in in the processor or separately accessible through a storage device. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a storage device in Chen for storing a set of instructions for the processor to carry out its required functions.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-8, 12-18, 22, 23, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,757 Page 4

Art Unit: 2663

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Kolls PG Pub., the Ehreth patent, the Coursey et al. patent, and the Akiyama et al. patent, are cited for further references.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 571-272-3127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJ

June 15, 2006

Min Jung

Primary Examiner